

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,652	03/24/2004	Lon T. Spada	3126	6123
7590 09/16/2005			EXAMINER	
WALTER A. HACKLER, Ph.D.			KAUFMAN, JOSEPH A	
PATENT LAW	V OFFICE			
SUITE B			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2372 S.E. BRISTOL STREET			3754	
NEWPORT BI	EACH, CA 92660-0755	; · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				. \	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/808,652	SPADA ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
_		Joseph A. Kaufman	3754		
The Period for Re	ne MAILING DATE of this communication app eply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (- If NO perio - Failure to r Any reply r	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In or poly is specified above, the maximum statutory period very within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠ Thi 3)⊡ Sin clos	ce this application is in condition for allowared in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. noe except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition (
4a) 5)	im(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraving(s) is/are allowed. im(s) 1 is/are rejected. im(s) is/are objected to. im(s) are subject to restriction and/o Papers specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a content of the objectment drawing sheet(s) including the correct	r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
-	oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
,—	er 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/808,652 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The concave surface subtending the aperture and the concave and convex surfaces having equal radii are not supported by the original disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 3754

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerondale in view of Baudin.

Gerondale shows a nozzle 7, neck seen in Figure 2; shoulder 2; aperture 8, 9; ring surrounded by 5; threads 16; cap 4; top and skirt seen in Figure 1; seat at 3; grooves 17; lip/seal means 5 or the portion engaging the ring after strip 5 has been removed. Gerondale lacks the concave and convex surfaces of the aperture and seat having equal radii and not entering the aperture. Baudin shows such an arrangement in Figure 3 represented by numerals 20 and 40. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the matching concave and convex structures as taught by Baudin on the device of Gerondale in order to provide a more complete seal and thus help prevent drying of the product around the aperture (column 5, lines 14-22).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3754

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph A. Kaufman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4928. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30AM-2PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

......

Joseph A. Kaufmar Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3754

9/14/05

jak

September 14, 2005